UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENȚOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,733	10/17/2005	Yukie Mori	124684	3453
25944 7590 01/22/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			EXAMINER	
			BAISA, JOSELITO SASIS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			, 2832	
			·	•
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Ł			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-F			
	10/541,733	MORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Joselito Baisa	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	<u>.</u>				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 October 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in th	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2005 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum2. Certified copies of the priority docum		application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the promise of						
application from the International But		Toodivod III uno Manonal Grago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , ,	received.				
· •						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/2005 and 11/2/2005.	6)	·				

10/541,733 Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claim 6-9 and 13-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected method. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 17 October 2007. Claims 1-5 and 10-12 are considered for prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsumune [JP2001085203] in view of Toshiyuki et al. [JP 10291814].

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Mitsumune discloses an electrode couple, a conductive member comprising a resin including an electric conductor, wherein the electric conductor includes fullerenes [Abstract].

Mitsumune discloses the instant claimed invention discussed above except for the fullerenes is a residual material of a synthetic carbonaceous material generated in a preparation process from which at least a part of the fullerenes is removed.

Toshiyuki discloses fullerenes generated in the preparation process from which at least a part of the fullerenes is removed [Paragraph 3].

Application/Control Number:

10/541,733 Art Unit: 2832

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the preparation process of fullerene as taught by Toshiyuki to the PTC of Mitsumune.

The motivation would have been to implement a common method in the preparation of fullerene [Paragraph 6].

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Toshiyuki discloses the synthetic a carbonaceous material including the fullerenes is generated via a predetermined arc discharging method or a predetermined combustion method [Paragraph 3].

Regarding claims 3 and 12, Mitsumune discloses the preparation process of fullerene except for the claimed conductor includes oxygen atoms of 0.5 to 30 mass% and hydrogen atoms of 0.05 to 1 mass%. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the oxygen and hydrogen content since applicant has not disclosed that a oxygen atoms of 0.5 to 30 mass% and hydrogen atoms of 0.05 to 1 mass% solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention of Mitsumune would perform equally well with the Applicant's invention.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Mitsumune discloses a plurality of conductor particles having resin particles formed from the resin and a conductive layer formed on the surface of the resin particles and formed from the electric conductor are piled up; wherein the electric conductor is dispersed in the resin [Paragraph 10-12].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joselito Baisa whose telephone number is (571) 272-7132. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joselito Baisa Examiner Art Unit 2832

jsb